arXiv:0802.0892v1 [math.CV] 6 Feb 2008

CLOSED IDEALS IN ANALYTIC WEIGHTED LIPSCHITZ ALGEBRAS.

BRAHIM BOUYA

Abstract. We obtain a complete description of closed ideals in weighted Lipschitz algebras Λ_ω of analytic functions on the unit disk satisfying the following condition

$$\frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |z-w| \longrightarrow 0),$$

where ω is a modulus of continuity satisfying some regularity conditions. In particular the closed ideals of the algebras Λ_{χ_α}, where $\chi_\alpha(t) := \dfrac{1}{(|\log(t)|+1)^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, are standard and this answers Shirokov's question [9, page 587].

1. Introduction and statement of main result.

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disk of the complex plane and $\mathbb{T}$ its boundary. By $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ we denote the usual disk algebra of all analytic functions f on $\mathbb{D}$ that are continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. We define the weighted Lipschitz algebra $\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{D}) = \Lambda_\omega$ to be

$$\Lambda_\omega := \Big\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{z,w\in\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z-w| \to 0) \Big\},$$

where $\omega(t)$ is a *modulus of continuity*, i.e., a nondecreasing continuous real-valued function on $[0,2]$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $\omega(t)/t$ is non increasing function such that $\lim\limits_{t\to 0} \omega(t)/t = \infty$. It is clear that Λ_ω is a commutative Banach algebra when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_\omega := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{\substack{z,w\in\overline{\mathbb{D}} \\ z\neq w}} \frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)},$$

with $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$. Similarly the weighted Lipschitz algebra $\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$ is defined by

$$\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T}) := \Big\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{z,w\in\mathbb{T}} \frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)} = o(1) \quad (\text{as } |z-w| \to 0) \Big\}.$$

Shirokov showed in [10] that Λ_ω possesses the so-called F-property (Factorization property), i.e., for every given $f \in \Lambda_\omega$ and inner function U such that f/U belongs to the algebra $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ of bounded analytic functions, we have $f/U \in \Lambda_\omega$ and $\|f/U\|_\omega \leq c\|f\|_\omega$, for an absolute constant c $\big($see appendix B$\big)$. Note that Tamrazov [11] proved

---

Date: February 8, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 46E20; secondary 30C85, 47A15.

This work was partially supported by the "Action Integrée Franco-Marocaine" No. MA/03/64.

that the algebras Λ_ω and $\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$ coincide for any arbitrary modulus of continuity ω (see appendix A).

The structure of closed ideals in the disk algebra is given independently by Beurling and Rudin [4]. They proved that if $\mathcal{I}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$, then there is an inner function $U_{\mathcal{I}}$ (the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the non-zero functions in $\mathcal{I}$) such that $\mathcal{I} = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}) : f_{|E_{\mathcal{I}}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}$, where $E_{\mathcal{I}} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} : f(\xi) = 0, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{I}\}$.
Using Beurling–Carleman–Domar resolvent's method combined with the F-property, we can reduce the problem of characterization of closed ideals, in some algebras of analytic functions, to a problem of approximation of outer functions (see for example [2] and references therein). Korenblum [5] has described the closed ideals of the algebra H_1^2 of analytic functions f such that f' is in the Hardy space H^2. He proved that these ideals are standard (in the sense of Beurling-Rudin characterization of the closed ideals in the disk algebra). Later, this result has been extended to some other Banach algebras of analytic functions. In particular by Matheson [7] and independently by Shamoyan [8] for the algebras Λ_{φ_α}, where $\varphi_\alpha(t) := t^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. The resolvent method is described as follows: Define $d(\xi, E)$ to be the distance from $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ to the closed subset E of $\mathbb{T}$ and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal of the algebra Λ_{φ_α}.

1. In the first step we give an estimate to the norm of the resolvent $\|(\xi - \pi(z))^{-1}\|_{\Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}}$ in the quotient algebra $\Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}$, where $\pi : \Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha} \to \Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}$ is the canonical quotient map. We obtain $\|(\xi - \pi(z))^{-1}\|_{\Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}} \le \dfrac{c}{d^4(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})}$, where $1 \le |\xi| \le 2$ and c is an absolute constant. So, from Cauchy formula on the quotient algebra $\Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}$, we deduce that all functions in Λ_{φ_α} such that $f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $|f(\xi)| \le d^4(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})$, $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, are in $\mathcal{I}$.
2. The second step consists to prove that the space of all functions in Λ_{φ_α} such that $f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $|f(\xi)| \le d^4(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})$, $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, is dense in the standard ideal $\{f \in \Lambda_{\varphi_\alpha} : f_{|E_{\mathcal{I}}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}$.

A closed subset $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called a Carleson set if the Carleson condition is satisfied, to wit

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log\Big(\frac{1}{d(e^{it}, E)}\Big)dt < +\infty.$$

The zeros of each given function in any Banach algebras H_1^2, Λ_{φ_α} and other ones in which the structure of closed ideals is also studied by using the resolvent method [2, 9], form a Carleson set. For the general case the resolvent method fails to apply, as example we can consider the algebras Λ_{χ_α}, where $\chi_\alpha(t) := \dfrac{1}{(|\log(t)| + 1)^\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, [9, page 587]. Indeed, let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal of Λ_{χ_α} such that $E_{\mathcal{I}}$ is not a Carleson set. We have $\|(\xi - \pi(z))^{-1}\|_{\Lambda_{\chi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}} \le \dfrac{c}{d^4(\xi, E_{\mathcal{I}})}$, where $1 \le |\xi| \le 2$ and $\pi : \Lambda_{\chi_\alpha} \to \Lambda_{\chi_\alpha}/\mathcal{I}$ is the canonical quotient map. It is clear that does not exist any power M such that $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|f^M(e^{it})|}{d^4(e^{it}, E_{\mathcal{I}})}dt < +\infty$ for all functions in Λ_{χ_α} vanishing on $E_{\mathcal{I}}$. Therefore we can not conclude the first step of the resolvent method as described above.

From now on, ω will be a modulus of continuity such that for every $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$ the following condition

$$\omega(t^\rho) \geq \eta_\rho \, \omega^\rho(t) \qquad (0 \leq t \leq 2), \tag{1.1}$$

is satisfied, where $\eta_\rho > 0$ is a constant depending only on ρ.

In this work we prove that the closed ideals of the algebras Λ_ω are standard. For proving this we use only a special method of approximating outer functions in Λ_ω together with the F-property. More precisely, we obtain the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying* (1.1). *If $\mathcal{I}$ is closed ideal of Λ_ω, then*

$$\mathcal{I} = \Big\{ f \in \Lambda_\omega \, : \, f_{|E_{\mathcal{I}}} \equiv 0 \text{ and } f/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \Big\},$$

where $E_{\mathcal{I}} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} \, : \, f(\xi) = 0, \ \forall f \in \mathcal{I}\}$ and $U_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of the non-zero functions in $\mathcal{I}$.

Consequently, we obtain the structure of closed ideals of the particular algebras Λ_{χ_α}.

2. Other results and proof of Theorem 1.1.

We begin by recalling that every function f in the disk algebra has the canonical factorization $f = c_f U_f O_f$, where c_f is a constant of modulus 1, U_f is an inner function (that is $|U_f| = 1$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$) and O_f the outer function given by

$$O_f(z) = \exp\Big\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log|f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \Big\} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

The closed ideal of all functions in Λ_ω vanishing on E is designed by $\mathcal{J}_E$. In the section 3.2 we give the proof of the following theorem

Theorem 2.1. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the following condition*

$$\omega(t^2) \geq \eta_2 \, \omega^2(t) \qquad (0 \leq t \leq 2), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\eta_2 > 0$ is a constant. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal in Λ_ω such that $U_{\mathcal{I}} \equiv 1$ and let $g \in \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ be an outer function. Then g^2 belongs to $\mathcal{I}$.

Remark 2.2. *In the same way, as in Theorem 2.1, we can obtain that if moreover ω satisfy the following stronger condition*

$$\omega(t^2) \geq \eta \, \omega(t) \qquad (0 \leq t \leq 2), \tag{2.2}$$

then g belongs to $\mathcal{I}$.

In the section 3.3 we prove the following theorem

Theorem 2.3. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the condition* (1.1). *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal in Λ_ω and let $g \in \Lambda_\omega$ be a function such that $U_g O_g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$. Then g belongs to $\mathcal{I}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1: We have to prove that every closed ideal of Λ_ω is standard. For this, let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal of the algebra Λ_ω. If $U_{\mathcal{I}} \equiv 1$, let g be a function in $\mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$. Hence, making use of the F-property of Λ_ω, it follows $O_g \in \Lambda_\omega$, and therefore $O_g \in \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$. Thus, according to Theorem 2.1, we deduce $O_g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and then $U_g O_g^4 \in \mathcal{I}$. Next, by applying Theorem 2.3 two times, we conclude $g \in \mathcal{I}$.
Now if $U_{\mathcal{I}} \not\equiv 1$, we choose $g \in \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ such that $g/U_{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Thus, the associated ideal

$$\mathcal{K}_g := \{f \in \Lambda_\omega \ : \ fg \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

is closed and by the F-property of Λ_ω we see easily that $U_{\mathcal{K}_g} \equiv 1$ and then $\mathcal{K}_g = \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{K}_g}}$. Now, since $E_{\mathcal{K}_g} \subseteq E_{\mathcal{I}}$, then $O_g \in \mathcal{K}_g$. It follows that $U_g O_g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$. So, by Theorem 2.3, $g \in \mathcal{I}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Approximation of functions in Λ_ω.

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3. For simplicity we use the following Tamrazov's Theorem (see Appendix A): If f is a function in the disk algebra such that $f \in \Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$, then $f \in \Lambda_\omega$. We need also the following simple Lemma

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f_n \in \Lambda_\omega$ be a sequence of functions converging uniformly on the closed unit disk to $f \in \Lambda_\omega$. If*

$$\frac{|f_n(z) - f_n(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)} = o(1) \qquad (as\ |z-w| \longrightarrow 0),$$

uniformly with respect to n, then $\lim\limits_{n \longrightarrow +\infty} \|f_n - f\|_\omega = 0$.

3.1. **Several technical lemmas.** For $f \in \Lambda_\omega$, the inner function U_f is uniquely factored in the form $U_f = B_f S_f$, where B_f is the usual Blashke product associated to $Z_f \cap \mathbb{D}$, $Z_f := \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : \ f(z) = 0\}$ and the function

$$S_f(z) := \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\mu_f(\theta) \Big\} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

is the singular inner function associated to the singular positive measure μ_f. Note that the support $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_f)$ of the singular measure μ_f is a closed subset of $E_f := \{\xi \in \mathbb{T} \ : \ f(\xi) = 0\}$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, we design by (a,b) (resp. $[a,b]$) an open arc (resp. closed arc) of $\mathbb{T}$ connecting the points a and b.

Lemma 3.2. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the condition* (2.1)*. Let g be a function in Λ_ω and let $U = B_U S_U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be an inner function such that $B_g/B_U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_U) \subseteq E_g$ and $(1/2\pi)\int_0^{2\pi} d\mu_U(\theta) \leq M$, where M is a constant. Then UO_g^2 belongs to Λ_ω and we have*

$$\frac{|U(\xi)O_g^2(\xi) - U(\zeta)O_g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \qquad (as\ |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0), \tag{3.1}$$

uniformly with respect to U. If moreover ω satisfy the condition (2.2), then UO_g belongs to Λ_ω and we have

$$\frac{|U(\xi)O_g(\xi)-U(\zeta)O_g(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}=o(1)\qquad(\textit{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0),\tag{3.2}$$

uniformly with respect to U.

Proof. Let $\xi,\zeta\in\mathbb{T}$ be two distinct points such that $d(\xi,E_{_g})\geq d(\zeta,E_{_g})$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{|U(\xi)O_g^2(\xi)-U(\zeta)O_g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\\ \leq\ &\frac{|B_{_U}(\xi)O_g^2(\xi)-B_{_U}(\zeta)O_g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}+|O_g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|S_{_U}(\xi)-S_{_U}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the F-property of Λ_ω we have $O_g\in\Lambda_\omega$ and $B_{_U}O_g^2\in\Lambda_\omega$. Then to prove (3.1), it is sufficient to prove that

$$|O_g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|S_{_U}(\xi)-S_{_U}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}=o(1)\qquad(\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0).\tag{3.3}$$

First we suppose that $|\xi-\zeta|\geq\big(\dfrac{d(\zeta,E_{_g})}{2}\big)^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|O_g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|S_{_U}(\xi)-S_{_U}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}&\leq 8\eta_2^{-1}\Big(\frac{|O_g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta,E_{_g}))}\Big)^2\\ &= o(1)\qquad(\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0).\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Now, let suppose that $|\xi-\zeta|\leq\big(\dfrac{d(\zeta,E_{_g})}{2}\big)^2$. Then $[\xi,\zeta]\subset\mathbb{T}\setminus E_{_g}$ and therefore $d(z,E_{_g})\geq d(\zeta,E_{_g})$, for every $z\in[\xi,\zeta]$. There is $z\in[\xi,\zeta]$ such that

$$\frac{|S_{_U}(\xi)-S_{_U}(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|}=|S_{_U}^{'}(z)|\leq\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}d\mu_{_U}(\theta)\leq\frac{2M}{d^2(z,E_{_g})}.$$

It follows

$$\frac{|S_{_U}(\xi)-S_{_U}(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|}\leq\frac{2M}{d^2(\zeta,E_{_g})}.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&|O_g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|S_{_U}(\xi)-S_{_U}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\\ =\ &|O_g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|S_{_U}(\xi)-S_{_U}(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\\ \leq\ &2M\eta_2^{-1}\Big(\frac{|O_g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta,E_{_g}))}\Big)^2\frac{\omega(d^2(\zeta,E_{_g}))}{d^2(\zeta,E_{_g})}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\\ =\ &o(1)\qquad(\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0).\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

So (3.3) follows from (3.4) and (3.5). Consequently UO_g^2 belongs to Λ_ω. If moreover ω satisfy the condition (2.2), we can argue similarly to prove (3.2). This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be a function in Λ_ω. Let $\delta > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{a_n \ : \ 0 \leq n \leq N\}$ be a finite number of points in E_f. Then*

$$\lim_{\delta \longrightarrow 0} \|\psi_{\delta,N} f - f\|_\omega = 0,$$

where $\psi_{\delta,N}(z) := \prod_{n=0}^{n=N} \dfrac{z\overline{a_n} - 1}{z\overline{a_n} - 1 - \delta}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Proof. Without loss of the generality we can suppose that $N = 0$ and $a_0 = 1$. Set $\psi_\delta(z) := \dfrac{z-1}{z-1-\delta}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and suppose that $\{1\} \in E_f$. We have to show that $\lim_{\delta \longrightarrow 0} \|\psi_\delta f - f\|_\omega = 0$. Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ be two distinct points such that $|\xi - 1| \geq |\zeta - 1|$. We have

$$\frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) f(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta) f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \leq |\psi_\delta(\xi)| \frac{|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} + |f(\zeta)| \frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Suppose first that $|\xi - \zeta| \geq |\zeta - 1|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)| \frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &\leq 2 \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\zeta - 1|)} \\ &= o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now if $|\xi - \zeta| \leq |\zeta - 1|$, then $|z - 1| \geq |\zeta - 1|$ for every $z \in [\xi, \zeta]$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)| \frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} &= |f(\zeta)| \frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta)|}{|\xi - \zeta|} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &= |f(\zeta)||\psi_\delta'(z)| \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \qquad (z \in [\xi, \zeta]) \\ &\leq \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\zeta - 1|)} \frac{\omega(|\zeta - 1|)}{|\zeta - 1|} \frac{|\xi - \zeta|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} \\ &= o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) we deduce

$$\frac{|\psi_\delta(\xi) f(\xi) - \psi_\delta(\zeta) f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi - \zeta|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi - \zeta| \longrightarrow 0),$$

uniformly with respect to $\delta > 0$. So the result follows by applying Lemma 3.1 to the family of functions $\psi_\delta f$, $\delta > 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We denote by K^c the complement in $\mathbb{T}$ of the subset K of $\mathbb{T}$. For a closed subset E of $\mathbb{T}$, we have $E^c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (a_n, b_n)$, where $(a_n, b_n) \subset E^c$ and $a_n, b_n \in E$. We define Ω_E to be the family of all the unions of arcs (a_n, b_n), where $(a_n, b_n) \subset E^c$ and $a_n, b_n \in E$. For a given function f in the disk algebra and $\Gamma \in \Omega_E$ (E is a closed subset of $\mathbb{T}$), let us define the outer function $f_\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ associated to the outer factor of f by

$$f_\Gamma(z) := \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log|f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right\} \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Then, we assert

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $\mathcal{I}$ *be a closed ideal of* Λ_ω, $f \in \Lambda_\omega$ *an outer function and let* $h \in \mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ *a function such that* $hf \in \mathcal{I}$. *Let* $\Gamma \in \Omega_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ *be such that* $\mathbb{T} \setminus \overline{\Gamma}$ *is union of a finite number of arcs* $(a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus E_{\mathcal{I}}$ $(a, b \in E_{\mathcal{I}})$. *If* $hf_{\Gamma} \in \Lambda_\omega$, *then* $hf_{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. For simplicity we suppose that $\mathbb{T} \setminus \overline{\Gamma} = (a,b) := \gamma$, where $a, b \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $(a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{T} \setminus E_{\mathcal{I}}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\gamma_\varepsilon := (ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}) \subset \gamma$. For $\delta > 0$, we set

$$\phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z) := \Big(\frac{z\overline{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1}{z\overline{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1 - \delta}\Big)\Big(\frac{z\overline{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1}{z\overline{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1 - \delta}\Big) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

It is clear that $\phi_{\delta,\varepsilon} \in \Lambda_\omega$ and that $\phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(ae^{i\varepsilon}) = \phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(be^{-i\varepsilon}) = 0$. Then, according to Proposition 3.6-1 (below), we see that the function f_{γ_ε} multiplied by the square of $\phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ belongs to Λ_ω, i.e., $\phi^2_{\delta,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma_\varepsilon} \in \Lambda_\omega$. Similarly, we get $\phi^2_{\delta,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma^c_\varepsilon} \in \Lambda_\omega$. Now, for $\pi : \Lambda_\omega \longrightarrow \Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{I}$ being the canonical quotient map, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \pi\Big(\phi^4_{\delta,\varepsilon} hf\Big) \\ &= \pi\Big(h\phi^2_{\delta,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma^c_\varepsilon}\Big)\pi\Big(\phi^2_{\delta,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma_\varepsilon}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since, the function $\phi^2_{\delta,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $\Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{I}$, then

$$h\phi^2_{\delta,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma^c_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{I} \qquad (\delta, \varepsilon > 0).$$

Using the fact

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0} \|\phi^2_{\delta,\varepsilon} f_{\gamma^c_\varepsilon} - \phi^2_{\delta,0} f_{\Gamma}\|_\omega = 0,$$

we can check

$$\phi^2_{\delta,0} hf_{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{I} \qquad (\delta > 0).$$

Now, since $hf_{\Gamma} \in \Lambda_\omega$ and $hf_{\Gamma}(a) = hf_{\Gamma}(b) = 0$, we can deduce from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\lim_{\delta \longrightarrow 0} \|\phi^2_{\delta,0} hf_{\Gamma} - hf_{\Gamma}\|_\omega = 0.$$

So $hf_{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{I}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal of the algebra Λ_ω. We have $U_{\mathcal{I}} = B_{\mathcal{I}} S_{\mathcal{I}}$. The inner function $B_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the usual Blashke product associated to the zero set $Z_{\mathcal{I}} \cap \mathbb{D}$, where $Z_{\mathcal{I}} := \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \ : \ f(z) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{I}\}$. The positive singular measure $\mu_{\mathcal{I}}$ associated to the singular inner function $S_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the greatest common divisor of all μ_f, $f \in \mathcal{I}$. Note that $\mathrm{supp}(\mu_{\mathcal{I}})$ is included in $E_{\mathcal{I}}$. For a subset K of T, we set

$$\big(S_f\big)_K(z) := \exp\Big\{-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_K \frac{e^{i\theta}+z}{e^{i\theta}-z} d\mu_f(\theta)\Big\} \qquad (f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let* f *be a function in a closed ideal* $\mathcal{I}$ *of the algebra* Λ_ω. *Then* $B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_f\big)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} O_f$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{I}$. Define $B_{f,n}$ and $B_{\mathcal{I},n}$ to be respectively the Blashke product with zeros $Z_f \cap \mathbb{D}_n$ and $Z_{\mathcal{I}} \cap \mathbb{D}_n$, where $\mathbb{D}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |z| < \frac{n-1}{n},\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The function $B_{f,n}/B_{\mathcal{I},n}$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $\Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{J}_n$, where

$\mathcal{J}_n := \{g \in \Lambda_\omega \ : \ gB_{\mathcal{I},n} \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Then $f/B_{f,n} \in \mathcal{J}_n$. It follows that $B_{\mathcal{I},n}(f/B_{f,n}) \in \mathcal{I}$. It is clear that

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow+\infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I},n}(f/B_{f,n}) - B_{\mathcal{I}} S_{f} O_{f}\|_\infty = 0.$$

By using Corollary 5.2 in appendix B (F-property of Λ_ω), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow+\infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I},n}(f/B_{f,n}) - B_{\mathcal{I}} S_{f} O_{f}\|_\omega = 0.$$

So, $B_{\mathcal{I}} S_{f} O_{f} \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\gamma_\varepsilon := (ae^{i\varepsilon}, be^{-i\varepsilon}) \subset \gamma := (a,b)$, where $a, b \in E_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $(a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{T}\backslash E_{\mathcal{I}}$. By using the F-property of Λ_ω, the function $B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c} O_{f}$ belongs to Λ_ω. We set

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}(z) := (z\overline{a}e^{-i\varepsilon} - 1)(z\overline{b}e^{i\varepsilon} - 1) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

From Proposition 3.6-1 below, the function $g_{\varepsilon} := \phi_{\varepsilon}^2 f_{\gamma_\varepsilon}$ belongs to Λ_ω. Since $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{(S_{f})_{\gamma_\varepsilon}}) \subseteq E_{g_\varepsilon}$, then we deduce from Lemma 3.2 that $\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma_\varepsilon} g_{\varepsilon}^2 \in \Lambda_\omega$. We have

$$0 = \pi\Big(g_{\varepsilon}^2 B_{\mathcal{I}} S_{f} O_{f}\Big) = \pi\Big(\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma_\varepsilon} g_{\varepsilon}^2\Big) \times \pi\Big(B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c} O_{f}\Big)$$

where $\pi : \Lambda_\omega \rightarrow \Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{I}$, is the canonical quotient map. The function $\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma_\varepsilon} g_{\varepsilon}^2$ is invertible in the quotient algebra $\Lambda_\omega/\mathcal{I}$, then $B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c} O_{f} \in \mathcal{I}$. It is clear that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c} O_{f} - B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma^c} O_{f}\|_\infty = 0.$$

Then, using Corollary 5.2 in appendix B, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma_\varepsilon^c} O_{f} - B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma^c} O_{f}\|_\omega = 0.$$

So $B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\gamma^c} O_{f} \in \mathcal{I}$. Similarly we can prove that $B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\Gamma_N^c} O_{f} \in \mathcal{I}$, where $\Gamma_{N} := \bigcup\limits_{n\leq N} (a_n, b_n) \in \Omega_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$. We have

$$\lim_{N\longrightarrow+\infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\Gamma_N^c} O_{f} - B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} O_{f}\|_\infty = 0.$$

Using again Corollary 5.2 we deduce

$$\lim_{N\longrightarrow+\infty} \|B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{\Gamma_N^c} O_{f} - B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} O_{f}\|_\omega = 0.$$

Then $B_{\mathcal{I}}\big(S_{f}\big)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}} O_{f} \in \mathcal{I}$. This proves the lemma. □

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** For the proof of Theorem 2.1, we need the following proposition about approximation of functions in Λ_ω

Proposition 3.6. *Let* ω *be a modulus of continuity satisfying the condition* (2.1). *Let* $f \in \Lambda_\omega$ *be a function such that* $\|f\|_\omega \leq 1$ *and* E *a closed subset of* $\mathbb{T}$. *Let* $g \in \mathcal{J}_E$ *be an outer function and* S *singular inner function such that* $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_{S}) \subseteq E_{g}$. *Then*

1. *The functions* Sg^2 *and* $Sg^2 f_{\Gamma_N^c}$ *belong to* Λ_ω, *for every* $N \in \mathbb{N}$,
2. *We have* $\lim\limits_{N\longrightarrow+\infty} \|Sg^2 f_{\Gamma_N^c} - Sg^2\|_\omega = 0$,

where $\Gamma_{N} := \bigcup\limits_{n\leq N} (a_n, b_n) \in \Omega_{E}$. *If moreover* ω *satisfy the stronger condition* (2.2), *then*

1′. *The functions* Sg *and* $Sgf_{\Gamma^c_N}$ *belong to* Λ_ω, *for every* $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

2′. *We have* $\lim\limits_{N \longrightarrow +\infty} \|Sgf_{\Gamma^c_N} - Sg\|_\omega = 0$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 we have $Sg^2 \in \Lambda_\omega$. It is clear that $\{Sg^2 f_{\Gamma^c_N}\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of functions in the disk algebra. Let us note that if

$$\frac{|S(z)g^2(z)f_\Gamma(z) - S(w)g^2(w)f_\Gamma(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |z-w| \to 0), \tag{3.9}$$

uniformly with respect to $\Gamma \in \Omega_E$, then assertion 1 follows immediately. Furthermore, assertion 2 can be deduced by applying Lemma 3.1.

Thus, it suffices to show only (3.9). For this, we fix $\Gamma \in \Omega_E$ and we let ξ and ζ be two distinct points in $\mathbb{T}$ such that $d(\xi, E) \geq d(\zeta, E)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|S(\xi)g^2(\xi)f_\Gamma(\xi) - S(\zeta)g^2(\zeta)f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ \leq \;\; & |f_\Gamma(\xi)|\frac{|S(\xi)g^2(\xi) - S(\zeta)g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} + |g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Sg^2 \in \Lambda_\omega$ (by Lemma 3.2), then the proof of (3.9) reduces to

$$|g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \tag{3.10}$$

Case 1. For $|\xi - \zeta| \geq \big(\frac{d(\zeta,E)}{2}\big)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} & \leq 2\frac{|g^2(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ & \leq 8\eta_2^{-1}\Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}\Big)^2 \\ & = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Case 2. For $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \big(\frac{d(\zeta,E)}{2}\big)^2$ with $\zeta \notin \Gamma$. It follows $[\xi,\zeta] \subset \big(\Gamma \cup E\big)^c$. Then $z \notin \Gamma$ and $d(z,E) \geq d(\zeta,E)$ for every $z \in [\xi,\zeta]$. There is $z \in [\xi,\zeta]$ such that

$$\frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|} = |f_\Gamma^{'}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi}\int_\Gamma \frac{|\log|f(e^{i\theta})||}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}d\theta \leq \frac{c_f}{d^2(z,E)}.$$

It follows

$$\frac{|f_\Gamma(\xi) - f_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|} \leq \frac{c_f}{d^2(\zeta,E)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|f_{\Gamma}(\xi)-f_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} &= \frac{|g^2(\zeta)||\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\frac{|f_{\Gamma}(\xi)-f_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|}\\
&\le c_f\frac{|g^2(\zeta)||\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)d^2(\zeta,E)}\\
&\le c_f\Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}\Big)^2\frac{\omega(d^2(\zeta,E))|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)d^2(\zeta,E)}\\
&= o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0). \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. In this case let us assume that $|\xi-\zeta|\le\big(\frac{d(\zeta,E)}{2}\big)^2$ and $\zeta\in\Gamma$. It follows that $\xi\in\Gamma$, and therefore $|f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\xi)|=|f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\zeta)|=1$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f_{\Gamma}(\xi)-f_{\Gamma}(\zeta)\\
=\;& f(\xi)f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\xi)-f(\zeta)f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\zeta)\\
=\;& f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\xi)\big(f(\xi)-f(\zeta)\big)+f(\zeta)\big(f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\xi)-f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\zeta)\big)\\
=\;& f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\xi)\big(f(\xi)-f(\zeta)\big)\\
&-f(\zeta)f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\xi)f^{-1}_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\zeta)\big(f_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\xi)-f_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\zeta)\big) \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

combined with (3.12), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|f_{\Gamma}(\xi)-f_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\\
\le\;& |g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|f(\xi)-f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}+|f(\zeta)||g^2(\zeta)|\frac{|f_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\xi)-f_{\mathbb{T}\setminus\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\\
=\;& o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0). \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

From inequalities (3.11), (3.12) and (3.14), we see that (3.10) holds. If moreover ω satisfy the condition (2.2), we can deduce similarly the assertions 1' and 2'. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal of the algebra Λ_ω such that $U_{\mathcal{I}}\equiv 1$. Let g be an outer function in $\mathcal{J}_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$ and consider $f\in\mathcal{I}$. From Lemma 3.5, we deduce that $\big(S_f\big)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}O_f\in\mathcal{I}$. Then, $(S_f)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}g^2O_f\in\mathcal{I}$. From Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.6-1, we deduce that $\big(S_f\big)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}g^2f_{\Gamma^c_N}\in\mathcal{I}$, for every $N\in\mathbb{N}$, where $\Gamma_N:=\bigcup\limits_{n\le N}(a_n,b_n)\in\Omega_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}$. Thus, by Proposition 3.6-2, $\big(S_f\big)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}g^2\in\mathcal{I}$. Now choose a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathcal{I}$ such that the greatest common divisor of the inner parts of f_n is equal to 1 and such that $(1/2\pi)\int_0^{2\pi}d\mu_{f_n}(\theta)\le 1$. This infers that $k_n:=\big(S_{f_n}\big)_{E_{\mathcal{I}}}g^2\in\mathcal{I}$, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
\frac{|k_n(\xi)-k_n(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}=o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0),
$$

uniformly with respect to n. Using the fact

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow+\infty}\|k_n-g^2\|_\infty=0$$

and Lemma 3.1, we deduce

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow+\infty}\|k_n-g^2\|_\omega=0.$$

So $g^2\in\mathcal{I}$. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.3.** We begin by proving the following proposition

Proposition 3.7. *Let ω be a modulus of continuity satisfying the condition* (1.1). *Fix $1<\rho\le 2$. Let $g\in\Lambda_\omega$ be a function such that $\|g\|_\omega\le 1$ and let E be a closed subset of E_g. Then*

1. *The functions $U_gO_g^\rho$ and $U_gO_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c}$ belong to Λ_ω, for every $N\in\mathbb{N}$,*
2. *We have $\lim_{N\longrightarrow+\infty}\|U_gO_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c}-U_gO_g^\rho\|_\omega=0$,*

where $\Gamma_N:=\bigcup_{n\le N}(a_n,b_n)\in\Omega_E$.

Proof. By using the F-property of Λ_ω and the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& U_g(\xi)O_g^\rho(\xi)-U_g(\zeta)O_g^\rho(\zeta)\\
= \; & O_g^{\rho-1}(\xi)\big(U_g(\xi)O_g(\xi)-U_g(\zeta)O_g(\zeta)\big)-U_g(\zeta)O_g^{\rho-1}(\xi)\big(O_g(\xi)-O_g(\zeta)\big)\\
+ \; & U_g(\zeta)\big(O_g^\rho(\xi)-O_g^\rho(\zeta)\big)\qquad(\xi,\zeta\in\mathbb{T}),
\end{aligned}$$

the function $U_gO_g^\rho$ belongs to Λ_ω. It is clear that $\{U_gO_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c}\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequence of functions in the disk algebra and

$$\lim_{N\longrightarrow+\infty}\|U_gO_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c}-U_gO_g^\rho\|_\infty=0.$$

Note that if

$$\frac{|U_gO_g^\rho g_\Gamma(\xi)-U_gO_g^\rho g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}=o(1)\qquad(\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\to 0),\tag{3.15}$$

uniformly with respect to $\Gamma\in\Omega_E$, then assertion 1 holds as well as assertion 2, which follows from (3.15) combined with Lemma 3.1.

Below, we have to prove (3.15). Let $\xi,\zeta\in\mathbb{T}$ be two different points such that $d(\xi,E)\ge d(\zeta,E)$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|U_g(\xi)O_g^\rho(\xi)g_\Gamma(\xi)-U_g(\zeta)O_g^\rho(\zeta)g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\\
\le \; & |g_\Gamma(\xi)|\frac{|U_g(\xi)O_g^\rho(\xi)-U_g(\zeta)O_g^\rho(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}+|g(\zeta)|^\rho\frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi)-g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, to prove (3.15) it is sufficient to prove that

$$|g(\zeta)|^\rho\frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi)-g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}=o(1)\qquad(\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0).\tag{3.16}$$

1. We suppose that $|\xi-\zeta|\geq\big(\dfrac{d(\zeta,E)}{2}\big)^{\rho}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\zeta)|^{\rho}\frac{|g_{\Gamma}(\xi)-g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} &\leq 2\frac{|g(\zeta)|^{\rho}}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ &\leq 2^{\rho+1}\eta_{\rho}^{-1}\Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}\Big)^{\rho} \\ &= o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

2. In this case we suppose that $|\xi-\zeta|\leq\big(\dfrac{d(\zeta,E)}{2}\big)^{\rho}$ and that $\zeta\notin\Gamma$. It follows $[\xi,\zeta]\subset\mathbb{T}\setminus E$. Then $z\notin\Gamma$ and $|z-e^{i\theta}|\geq\frac{1}{2}|\zeta-e^{i\theta}|$ for every $z\in[\xi,\zeta]$ and for every $e^{i\theta}\in\Gamma$. There is $z\in[\xi,\zeta]$ such that

$$\frac{|g_{\Gamma}(\xi)-g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|}=|g_{\Gamma}^{'}(z)|\leq a_{\Gamma}(z),$$

where $a_{\Gamma}(z):=\dfrac{1}{\pi}\displaystyle\int_{\Gamma}\frac{|\log|g(e^{i\theta})||}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^{2}}d\theta$. Since $a_{\Gamma}(z)\leq 4\ a_{\Gamma}(\zeta)$, then

$$\frac{|g_{\Gamma}(\xi)-g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|}\leq 4\ a_{\Gamma}(\zeta).$$

2.1. First we suppose that $a_{\Gamma}(\zeta)\leq\dfrac{1}{d^{\rho}(\zeta,E)}$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|g(\zeta)|^{\rho}|\xi-\zeta|}{d^{\rho}(\zeta,E)\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} &= \Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}\Big)^{\rho}\Big(\frac{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}{d(\zeta,E)}\Big)^{\rho}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ &\leq \inf\Big\{\eta_{\rho}^{-1}\Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}\Big)^{\rho},\Big(\frac{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}{d(\zeta,E)}\Big)^{\rho}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\Big\} \\ &= o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\zeta)|^{\rho}\frac{|g_{\Gamma}(\xi)-g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} &\leq \frac{|g(\zeta)|^{\rho}|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\frac{|g_{\Gamma}(\xi)-g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|} \\ &\leq 4\frac{|g(\zeta)|^{\rho}|\xi-\zeta|}{d^{\rho}(\zeta,E)\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ &= o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta|\longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

2.2. Next we suppose that $a_{\Gamma}(\zeta) \geq \dfrac{1}{d^{\rho-1}(\zeta,E)|\xi-\zeta|^{1/\rho}}$. Set $\lambda_\zeta := 1-|\xi-\zeta|^{1/\rho}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\lambda_\zeta\zeta)| &= \exp\Big\{ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-\lambda_\zeta^2}{|e^{i\theta}-\lambda_\zeta\zeta|^2}\log|g(e^{i\theta})|d\theta \Big\} \\ &\leq \exp\Big\{ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Gamma \frac{|\xi-\zeta|^{1/\rho}}{|e^{i\theta}-\lambda_\zeta\zeta|^2}\log|g(e^{i\theta})|d\theta \Big\} \\ &\leq \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{4}|\xi-\zeta|^{1/\rho}a_{\Gamma}(\zeta) \Big\} \\ &\leq \exp\Big\{ -\frac{1}{4d^{\rho-1}(\zeta,E)} \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $|g(\zeta)|^\rho \leq 2^{\rho-1}|g(\zeta)-g(\lambda_\zeta\zeta)|^\rho + 2^{\rho-1}|g(\lambda_\zeta\zeta)|^\rho$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|g_{\Gamma}(\xi)-g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ \leq\ & 2^{\rho-1}\frac{|g(\zeta)-g(\lambda_\zeta\zeta)|^\rho}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}|g_{\Gamma}(\xi)-g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)| \\ & +2^{\rho-1}|g(\lambda_\zeta\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\frac{|g_{\Gamma}(\xi)-g_{\Gamma}(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|} \\ \leq\ & 2^\rho\eta_\rho^{-1}o(1) \\ & +2^{\rho-1}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\exp\Big\{ -\frac{\rho}{4d^{\rho-1}(\zeta,E)} \Big\} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\log|g(e^{i\theta})||d\theta}{d^2(\zeta,E)} \\ =\ & o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

2.3. Now we suppose that $\dfrac{1}{d^\rho(\zeta,E)} \leq a_{\Gamma}(\zeta) \leq \dfrac{1}{d^{\rho-1}(\zeta,E)|\xi-\zeta|^{1/\rho}}$. Set $\mu_\zeta := 1-\dfrac{1}{a_{\Gamma}(\zeta)d^{\rho-1}(\zeta,E)}$. Then $|\xi-\zeta|^{1/\rho} \leq 1-\mu_\zeta \leq d(\zeta,E)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega(1-\mu_\zeta)}{1-\mu_\zeta}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\Big(\frac{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}{d(\zeta,E)}\Big)^{\rho-1} &\leq \Big(\frac{\omega(1-\mu_\zeta)}{1-\mu_\zeta}\Big)^\rho \frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ &\leq \eta_\rho^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega(1-\mu_\zeta)}{1-\mu_\zeta}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \leq \eta_\rho^{-(1/\rho)}\Big(\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\Big)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega(1-\mu_\zeta)}{1-\mu_\zeta}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{d(\zeta,E)}\Big)^{\rho-1} \\ \leq\ & \inf\Big\{\eta_\rho^{-1}\Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta,E))}\Big)^{\rho-1}, \eta_\rho^{-(1/\rho)}\Big(\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\Big)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}}\Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{d(\zeta,E)}\Big)^{\rho-1}\Big\} \\ =\ & o(1) \quad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have $|g(\mu_\zeta\zeta)| \leq \exp\left\{ -\dfrac{1}{4d^{\rho-1}(\zeta,E)} \right\}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\
\leq\ & |g(\zeta)|^\rho \frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} a_\Gamma(z) \qquad (z \in [\xi,\zeta]) \\
\leq\ & |g(\zeta)|^{\rho-1} \frac{|g(\zeta) - g(\mu_\zeta\zeta)||\xi-\zeta|}{(1-\mu_\zeta)\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} (1-\mu_\zeta) a_\Gamma(z) \\
& + |g(\zeta)|^{\rho-1} |g(\mu_\zeta\zeta)| \frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} a_\Gamma(z) \\
\leq\ & \frac{\omega(1-\mu_\zeta)}{1-\mu_\zeta} \frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \Big(\frac{|g(\zeta)|}{d(\zeta,E)} \Big)^{\rho-1} \\
& + \frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{4d^{\rho-1}(\zeta,E)} \right\} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\log|g(e^{i\theta})||d\theta}{d^2(\zeta,E)} \\
=\ & o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \qquad (3.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20) we obtain that if $|\xi - \zeta| \leq \big(\frac{d(\zeta,E)}{2}\big)^\rho$ and $\zeta \notin \Gamma$, then

$$|g^\rho(\zeta)| \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \qquad (3.21)$$

3. In this case we suppose that $|\xi-\zeta| \leq \big(\dfrac{d(\zeta,E)}{2}\big)^\rho$ and that $\zeta \in \Gamma$. We use the equality (3.13) to transfer this case into the case $\zeta \notin \Gamma$. Hence we use (3.21) to obtain also in this case that

$$|g^\rho(\zeta)| \frac{|g_\Gamma(\xi) - g_\Gamma(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \qquad (3.22)$$

Now (3.16) follows from inequalities (3.17), (3.21) and (3.22). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3 : Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a closed ideal in Λ_ω and g a function in Λ_ω. Then $O_g \in \Lambda_\omega$ and $O_g^\rho \in \Lambda_\omega$, for every $\rho > 1$. Suppose that $U_g O_g^2 \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $U_g O_g^{\rho+2} \in \mathcal{I}$, for every $\rho > 1$. From Proposition 3.7-1 we have $U_g O_g^\rho g_{\Gamma_N^c} \in \Lambda_\omega$, and hence, $U_g O_g^{\rho+1} g_{\Gamma_N^c} \in \Lambda_\omega$. It follows, by using Lemma 3.4, that $U_g O_g^{\rho+1} g_{\Gamma_N^c} \in \mathcal{I}$, for every $\rho > 1$ and for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, from Proposition 3.7-2, $U_g O_g^{\rho+1} \in \mathcal{I}$ for every $\rho > 1$. Again we use Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.7 to deduce that $U_g O_g^\rho \in \mathcal{I}$ for every $\rho > 1$. This infers that $g \in \mathcal{I}$.

4. APPENDIX A. AN EQUIVALENT NORM IN Λ_ω.

In this section we give a simple proof of the following Tamrazov's Theorem [11].

Theorem 4.1. [11] *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity and let f be a function in $\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$. Then f belongs to Λ_ω.*

We need the following key lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity and let $f \in \Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D})$ be a function such that $\|f\|_{\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})} \leq 1$. For every $\delta \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(z/|z|)| + \delta\big)d\theta\Big\} \\ \leq\ & o(\omega(1-|z|)) + A\delta \qquad (as\ |z| \longrightarrow 1), \end{aligned}$$

where A is an absolute constant.

Proof. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $|\xi - \zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon$, we have $|f(\xi) - f(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon\, \omega(|\xi - \zeta|)$. Divide $\mathbb{T}$ into the following three parts

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &:= \big\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} \ :\ |\xi - z/|z|| \leq 1 - |z| \leq c_\varepsilon\big\}, \\ \Gamma_2 &:= \big\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} \ :\ 1-|z| \leq |\xi - z/|z|| \leq c_\varepsilon\big\}, \\ \Gamma_3 &:= \big\{\xi \in \mathbb{T} \ :\ c_\varepsilon \leq |\xi - z/|z||\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(z/|z|)| + \delta\big)d\theta \\ \leq\ & \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||) + \delta\big)d\theta \\ & +\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||)| + \delta\big)d\theta \\ & +\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(\omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||) + \delta\big)d\theta \\ :=\ & I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

It is clear that

$$I_1 \leq \big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}d\theta\big)\log\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(1-|z|) + \delta\big). \tag{4.2}$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||) + \delta\big)d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(\varepsilon|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||\frac{\omega(1-|z|)}{1-|z|} + \delta\big)d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\Big(\frac{|e^{i\theta} - z/|z||}{1-|z|}\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(1-|z|) + \delta\big)\Big)d\theta \\ &\leq \big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}d\theta\big)\log\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(1-|z|) + \delta\big) \\ &\quad +c\int_{t\geq 1}\frac{\log(t)}{t^2}dt, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where c is an absolute constant. Let $c_\varepsilon^{'}$ be a positif number such that $c_\varepsilon^{'} \leq c_\varepsilon$ and for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $1-|z| \leq c_\varepsilon^{'}$, we have $\dfrac{1}{2\pi}\displaystyle\int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta \leq \varepsilon$. Hence, as in (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} \log\big(\omega(|e^{i\theta}-z/|z||)+\delta\big)d\theta \\ &\leq \big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta\big)\log\big(\omega(1-|z|)+\delta\big) + c\int_{t\geq 1} \frac{\log(t)}{t^2}dt \\ &= \big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta\big)\log\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(1-|z|)+\delta\big) + c\int_{t\geq 1} \frac{\log(t)}{t^2}dt \\ &\quad + \big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta\big)\log\Big(\frac{\omega(1-|z|)+\delta}{\varepsilon\ \omega(1-|z|)+\delta}\Big) \\ &\leq \big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} d\theta\big)\log\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(1-|z|)+\delta\big) + c\int_{t\geq 1} \frac{\log(t)}{t^2}dt \\ &\quad -\varepsilon\log(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $1-|z| \leq c_\varepsilon^{'}$. From (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2} \log\big(|f(e^{i\theta})-f(z/|z|)|+\delta\big)d\theta\Big\} \\ \leq\ & A\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(|1-|z|)+\delta\big) \qquad (z\in\mathbb{D} \text{ and } 1-|z| \leq c_\varepsilon^{'}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where A is an absolute constant. This completes the proof of the lemma.

□

We use lemma 4.2 to prove the following one

Lemma 4.3. *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity and let $f \in \Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})$. Then*

$$|f(z)-f(\zeta)| = o\big(\omega(|z-\zeta|)\big), \qquad (\textit{as } |z-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0,\ z\in\mathbb{D} \textit{ and } \zeta\in\mathbb{T}). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We can suppose that $\|f\|_{\Lambda_\omega(\mathbb{T})} \leq 1$. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $c_\varepsilon>0$ be a number such that for any $\xi,\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $|\xi-\zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon$, we have $|f(\xi)-f(\zeta)| \leq \varepsilon\,\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)$. Fix $\zeta\in\mathbb{T}$ and fix $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|z-\zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon/2$ and $|z| \geq 1/4$. We have $|z-\zeta|^2 = (1-|z|)^2 + |z||z/|z|-\zeta|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}|z/|z|-\zeta|^2$. Hence $|z/|z|-\zeta| \leq 2|z-\zeta| \leq c_\varepsilon$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(z)-f(\zeta)| \\ \leq\ & |f(z)-f(z/|z|)| + |f(z/|z|)-f(\zeta)| \\ \leq\ & |f(z)-f(z/|z|)| + \varepsilon\ \omega(|z/|z|-\zeta|) \\ \leq\ & \exp\Big\{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(|f(e^{i\theta})-f(z/|z|)|\big)d\theta\Big\} + 2\varepsilon\ \omega(|z-\zeta|). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use Lemma 4.2 to deduce the result of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1: Let $0<\varepsilon<1$. From Lemma 4.3 there is $0<c_\varepsilon<1/2$ such that for every $z\in\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and for every $\zeta\in\mathbb{T}$ satisfying $|z-\zeta|\leq c_\varepsilon$ we have $|f(z)-f(\zeta)|\leq\varepsilon\,\omega(|z-\zeta|)$. Let $z,w\in\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $|z-w|\leq c_\varepsilon/2$ and $\inf\{|z|,|w|\}=|w|\geq 1-c_\varepsilon$. It follows that $|z/|z|-w/|w||\leq|zw|^{-1/2}|z-w|\leq 2|z-w|\leq c_\varepsilon$.

1. First we assume that $|z-w|\geq 1-|w|$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&|f(z)-f(w)|\\ \leq\;&|f(z)-f(z/|z|)|+|f(z/|z|)-f(w/|w|)|+|f(w)-f(w/|w|)|\\ \leq\;&4\varepsilon\,\omega(|z-w|). \qquad (4.7)\end{aligned}$$

2. Now we suppose that $|z-w|\leq 1-|w|$. We apply the maximum principle theorem in $\mathbb{D}$ to the analytic function $z\mapsto\dfrac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w}$, we get

$$\Big|\frac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w}\Big|\leq\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{T}}\Big|\frac{f(\xi)-f(w)}{\xi-w}\Big|=\frac{|f(\xi_w)-f(w)|}{|\xi_w-w|}\qquad(\xi_w\in\mathbb{T}).$$

2.1. If $|\xi_w-w|\geq c_\varepsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)}&=\Big|\frac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w}\Big|\frac{|z-w|}{\omega(|z-w|)}\\ &\leq 2\frac{\|f\|_\infty}{c_\varepsilon}\frac{|z-w|}{\omega(|z-w|)}\\ &=o(1)\qquad(\text{as }|z-w|\longrightarrow 0). \qquad (4.8)\end{aligned}$$

2.2. If $|\xi_w-w|\leq c_\varepsilon$, then $|f(\xi_w)-f(w)|\leq\varepsilon\,\omega(|\xi_w-w|)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)}&=\Big|\frac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w}\Big|\frac{|z-w|}{\omega(|z-w|)}\\ &\leq\Big|\frac{f(\xi_w)-f(w)}{\xi_w-w}\Big|\frac{1-|w|}{\omega(1-|w|)}\qquad(\xi_w\in\mathbb{T})\\ &\leq\varepsilon\frac{\omega(|\xi_w-w|)}{|\xi_w-w|}\frac{1-|w|}{\omega(1-|w|)}\\ &\leq\varepsilon. \qquad (4.9)\end{aligned}$$

From inequalities (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), there is $c_\varepsilon^{'}>0$ such that if $|z-w|\leq c_\varepsilon^{'}$ and $\inf\{|z|,|w|\}\geq 1-c_\varepsilon^{'}$, then

$$\frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)}\leq\varepsilon. \qquad (4.10)$$

3. If $z,w\in\mathbb{D}$ are such that $\sup\{|z|,|w|\}\leq 1-c_\varepsilon^{'}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|f(z)-f(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)}&=\Big|\frac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w}\Big|\frac{|z-w|}{\omega(|z-w|)}\\ &\leq\sup_{|\zeta|\leq 1-c_\varepsilon^{'}}|f'(\zeta)|\frac{|z-w|}{\omega(|z-w|)}\\ &=o(1)\qquad(\text{as }|z-w|\longrightarrow 0). \qquad (4.11)\end{aligned}$$

From (4.10) and (4.11) we deduce the result. The proof of the theorem is completed.

5. Appendix B. Factorization property in Λ_ω.

The F-property of Λ_ω, for any arbitrary modulus of continuity ω, is given by Shirokov [10]. For completeness we give here the proof

Theorem 5.1. [10] *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let f be a function in Λ_ω and let U be inner function such that $f/U \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then $f/U \in \Lambda_\omega$ and*

$$\frac{|f/U(z) - f/U(w)|}{\omega(|z-w|)} = o(1) \qquad (as\ |z-w| \longrightarrow 0),$$

uniformly with respect to U. Also $\|f/U\|_\omega \le c\|f\|_\omega$, where c is an absolute constant.

Corollary 5.2. *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let f and g be functions in Λ_ω and let $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequence of inner functions such that $f/U_n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} \|f/U_n - g\|_\infty = 0$, then $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} \|f/U_n - g\|_\omega = 0$.*

Proof. The proof immediately follows from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.1. □

We begin the proof of Theorem 5.1 by establishing several lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. *Let ω be any arbitrary modulus of continuity. Let f be a function in Λ_ω. Then*

$$|O_f(z)| \le o(\omega(1-|z|)) + A|f(z/|z|)| \qquad (as\ |z| \longrightarrow 1),$$

where $A > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Proof. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\log|O_f(z)| \\ = &\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log|f(e^{i\theta})|d\theta \\ \le &\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}\log\big(|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(z/|z|)| + |f(z/|z|)|\big)d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use Lemma 4.2 to complete the proof of the lemma. □

For a function $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ we set

$$a_f(\xi) := \sum_{n\ge 0}\frac{1-|a_n|^2}{|\xi-a_n|^2} + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta}-\xi|^2}d\mu_f(\theta),$$

where $\{a_n \,:\, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = Z_f \cap \mathbb{D}$ (for all n, a_n is repeated according to it's multiplicity) and μ_f is the positive singular measure associated to the singular factor S_f of f.

Lemma 5.4. *Let f be a function in the disk algebra with inner factor $U_f \not\equiv 1$. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{T}\setminus E_f$ and let $0 < \rho < 1$ be such that $1-\rho \le d(\xi, Z_f)$. Then*

$$|U_f(\rho\xi)| \le \exp\big\{-\frac{1-\rho}{8}a_f(\xi)\big\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\log|S_{_f}(\rho\xi)| &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1-|\rho\xi|^2}{|e^{i\theta}-\rho\xi|^2}d\mu_{_f}(\theta)\\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1-\rho}{|e^{i\theta}-\xi|^2}d\mu_{_f}(\theta)\\ &= -\frac{1-\rho}{8}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1}{|e^{i\theta}-\xi|^2}d\mu_{_f}(\theta). \qquad (5.1)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$|z-w|^2 = ||z|-|w||^2 + |z||w||z/|z|-w/|w||^2 \qquad (z,w\in\mathbb{D}).$$

Now we estimate $|B_{_f}(\rho\xi)|$. For all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\frac{\rho\xi-a_n}{\xi-\rho a_n}\Big|^2 &= \frac{(\rho-|a_n|)^2+\rho|a_n||\xi-a_n/|a_n||^2}{(1-\rho|a_n|)^2+\rho|a_n||\xi-a_n/|a_n||^2}\\ &= 1-(1-\rho^2)\frac{1-|a_n|^2}{|\xi-\rho a_n|^2}\\ &\leq 1-\frac{1-\rho}{4}\frac{1-|a_n|^2}{|\xi-a_n|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\log\Big|\frac{\rho\xi-a_n}{\xi-\rho a_n}\Big| \leq -\frac{1-\rho}{8}\frac{1-|a_n|^2}{|\xi-a_n|^2}.$$

Therefore

$$|B_{_f}(\rho\xi)| \leq \exp\big\{-\frac{1-\rho}{8}\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1-|a_n|^2}{|\xi-a_n|^2}\big\}. \qquad (5.2)$$

From (5.1) and (5.2) we obtain

$$|U_{_f}(\rho\xi)| \leq \exp\big\{-\frac{1-\rho}{8}a_{_f}(\xi)\big\}.$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. *Let f be a function in Λ_ω and let U be an inner function such that $f/U\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

$$|f(\zeta)| = o\big(\omega(\frac{1}{a_{_U}(\zeta)})\big) \qquad (as\ d(\zeta,Z_{_f})\longrightarrow 0,\ \zeta\in\mathbb{T}\setminus E_{_f}).$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$. There is $c_\varepsilon>0$ such that if $|z-w|\leq c_\varepsilon$, $z,w\in\mathbb{T}$, then we have $|f(z)-f(w)|\leq\varepsilon\omega(|z-w|)$. From Lemma 5.3, there is $0<c_\varepsilon^{'}<c_\varepsilon$ such that if $1-\rho\leq c_\varepsilon^{'}$, then $|O_f(\rho\zeta)|\leq A\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(1-\rho)+|f(\zeta)|\big)$, where $A\geq 1$ is an absolute constant. Let $\zeta\in\mathbb{T}\setminus E_{_f}$ such that $d(\zeta,Z_{_f})\leq c_\varepsilon^{'}$.

1. We assume that $a_{_U}(\zeta)\leq\dfrac{8A}{d(\zeta,Z_{_f})}$. Then we obtain $|f(\zeta)|\leq\varepsilon\omega(d(\zeta,Z_{_f}))\leq$ $\varepsilon\omega(\dfrac{8A}{a_{_U}(\zeta)})\leq 8A\varepsilon\omega(\dfrac{1}{a_{_U}(\zeta)})$.

2. Now assume that $a_{_U}(\zeta) \geq \dfrac{8A}{d(\zeta, Z_{_f})}$. Then $1-\rho_{\zeta} \leq d(\zeta, Z_{_f})$, where $\rho_{\zeta} := 1 - \dfrac{8A}{a_{_U}(\zeta)}$. We have $U_{_f}/U \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, then $a_{_U}(\zeta) \leq a_{_f}(\zeta)$ and by using Lemma 5.4 we obtain

$$|U_{_f}(\rho_{\zeta}\zeta)| \leq \exp\big\{ -\frac{1-\rho_{\zeta}}{8} a_{_f}(\zeta)\big\} \leq \exp\big\{ -\frac{1-\rho_{\zeta}}{8} a_{_U}(\zeta)\big\} = \exp\{-A\}.$$

Since $d(\zeta, Z_{_f}) \leq c_{\varepsilon}^{'}$, then $1-\rho_{\zeta} \leq c_{\varepsilon}^{'}$ and we have

$$|f(\rho_{\zeta}\zeta)| = |U_f(\rho_{\zeta}\zeta)||O_f(\rho_{\zeta}\zeta)| \leq A\exp\{-A\}\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(1-\rho_{\zeta}) + |f(\zeta)|\big).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)| &\leq |f(\zeta) - f(\rho_{\zeta}\zeta)| + |f(\rho_{\zeta}\zeta)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon\ \omega(1-\rho_{\zeta}) + A\exp\{-A\}\big(\varepsilon\ \omega(1-\rho_{\zeta}) + |f(\zeta)|\big). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $|f(\zeta)| \leq 3\varepsilon\ \omega(1-\rho_{\zeta}) \leq 24A\varepsilon\ \omega(\dfrac{1}{a_{_U}(\zeta)})$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

□

Proof of Theorem 5.1 :

Now, we can deduce the proof of Theorem 5.1 by using Lemma 5.5. Indeed, from Theorem 4.1 it is sufficient to prove that $f/U \in \Lambda_{\omega}(\mathbb{T})$, that is

$$\frac{|f(\xi)/U(\xi) - f(\zeta)/U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and } \xi,\zeta \in \mathbb{T}).$$

Let $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ be two distinct points such that $d(\xi, Z_{_f}) \geq d(\zeta, Z_{_f})$. We have

$$\frac{|f(\xi)/U(\xi) - f(\zeta)/U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \leq \frac{|f(\xi)-f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} + |f(\zeta)|\frac{|U(\xi)-U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}.$$

Then it suffices to prove

$$|f(\zeta)|\frac{|U(\xi)-U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \tag{5.3}$$

1. First we suppose that $|\xi-\zeta| \geq \frac{1}{2}d(\zeta, Z_{_f})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)|\frac{|U(\xi)-U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} &\leq 2\frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(\frac{1}{2}d(\zeta, Z_{_f}))} \\ &\leq 4\frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(d(\zeta, Z_{_f}))} \\ &= o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

2. Next we suppose that $|\xi-\zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\zeta, Z_{_f})$. Then $[\xi,\zeta] \subset T \setminus E_{_f}$. There is $z \in [\xi,\zeta]$ such that $\dfrac{|U(\xi)-U(\zeta)|}{|\xi-\zeta|} = |U'(z)| \leq \displaystyle\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1-|a_n|^2}{|z-a_n|^2} + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{1}{|e^{i\theta}-z|^2}d\mu_{_f}(\theta) := a_{_U}(z) \leq 4a_{_U}(\zeta) \leq \dfrac{c_{_f}}{d^2(\zeta, Z_{_f})}$.

2.1. If $a_{U}(\zeta) \leq \dfrac{1}{|\xi-\zeta|}$. Then, by using Lemma 5.5, we deduce that

$$|f(\zeta)|a_{U}(\zeta)\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} = o(1) \qquad (\text{as } d(\zeta, Z_{f}) \longrightarrow 0).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(\zeta)|\frac{|U(\xi)-U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ \leq\ & 4|f(\zeta)|a_{U}(\zeta)\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} \\ \leq\ & \inf\Big\{4|f(\zeta)|a_{U}(\zeta)\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}, \ \frac{c_{f}\|f\|_{\infty}}{d^{2}(\zeta, Z_{f})}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\Big\} \\ =\ & o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

2.2. Now assume that $a_{U}(\zeta) \geq \dfrac{1}{|\xi-\zeta|}$. Then, by Lemma 5.5, we obtain $|f(\zeta)| = o(\omega(|\xi-\zeta|))$, as $d(\zeta, Z_{f}) \longrightarrow 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\zeta)|\frac{|U(\xi)-U(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)} &\leq \inf\Big\{2\frac{|f(\zeta)|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}, \ \frac{c_{f}\|f\|_{\infty}}{d^{2}(\zeta, Z_{f})}\frac{|\xi-\zeta|}{\omega(|\xi-\zeta|)}\Big\} \\ &= o(1) \qquad (\text{as } |\xi-\zeta| \longrightarrow 0). \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Consequently (5.3) follows from inequalities (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6). The proof of the theorem is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I wish to thank Professors A. Borichev, O. El-Fallah and K. Kellay for the great interest which they carried to this work.

REFERENCES

[1] B. BOUYA, *Idéaux fermés de certaines algèbres de fonctions analytiques,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 343 (2006), no. 4, 235–238.

[2] B. BOUYA, *Closed ideals in some algebras of analytic functions.* To appear in C. J. M.

[3] P. L. DUREN, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[4] K. HOFFMAN, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1988, Reprint of the 1962 original.

[5] B. I. KORENBLUM, *Invariant subspaces of the shift operator in a weighted Hilbert space*, Mat. Sb. 89(131)(1972), 110–138.

[6] A. MATHESON, *Approximation of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Mich. Math. J. 25 (1978), no. 3, 289–298.

[7] A. MATHESON, *Cyclic vectors for invariant subspaces in some classes of analytic functions*, Illinois J. Math. 36 (1992), no. 1, 136–144.

[8] F. A. SHAMOYAN, *Closed ideals in algebras of functions that are analytic in the disk and smooth up to its boundary*, Mat. Sb. 79 (1994), no. 2, 425–445.

[9] N. A. SHIROKOV, *Closed ideals of algebras of B^{α}_{pq}–type*, (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Mat. 46 (1982), no. 6, 1316–1333.

[10] N. A. Shirokov, *Analytic functions smooth up to the boundary*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1312. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[11] P. M. Tamrazov, *Contour and solid structural properties of holomorphic functions of a complex variable* (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk 28 (1973), no. 1(169), 131–161.

Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, Bât. M2, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France.

E-mail address: `bouya@math.univ-lille1.fr, Brahimbouya@gmail.com`